

A TOPOLOGICAL BASIS PROBLEM

Stevo Todorčević

SETTOP, August 22, 2022

Joint work with Yinhe Peng

Definition

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of topological spaces. We say that a subclass \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{K} is a **basis** for \mathcal{K} if every space $X \in \mathcal{K}$ contains a subspace $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of topological spaces. We say that a subclass \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{K} is a **basis** for \mathcal{K} if every space $X \in \mathcal{K}$ contains a subspace $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Question

Which classes of topological spaces have manageable bases?

In particular which classes of topological spaces have **finite bases**?

Definition

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of topological spaces. We say that a subclass \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{K} is a **basis** for \mathcal{K} if every space $X \in \mathcal{K}$ contains a subspace $Y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Question

Which classes of topological spaces have manageable bases?

In particular which classes of topological spaces have **finite bases**?

Remark

It is not difficult to see that in any reasonable **positive** answers of one of these questions one will have to work with a class \mathcal{K} of **regular** spaces.

Examples

Example

The class of **infinite first countable spaces** has a 2-element basis $\{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Examples

Example

The class of **infinite first countable spaces** has a 2-element basis $\{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Question

Does the class of **uncountable first countable spaces** have a finite basis?

Examples

Example

The class of **infinite first countable spaces** has a 2-element basis $\{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Question

Does the class of **uncountable first countable spaces** have a finite basis?

Remark

The **first countability** assumption is necessary here by the well-known L -space construction of J. Moore (2006).

When considering the Basis Problem we have to restrict to the class of regular and first countable spaces.

Basis as metrization criteria

Given a class \mathcal{K} of topological spaces, let

$$\mathcal{K}^\perp = \{X \in \text{Top} : (\forall Y \in \mathcal{K}) Y \not\rightarrow X\}$$

Basis as metrization criteria

Given a class \mathcal{K} of topological spaces, let

$$\mathcal{K}^\perp = \{X \in \text{Top} : (\forall Y \in \mathcal{K}) Y \not\rightarrow X\}$$

Question

Is there a finite list Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k of first countable regular topological spaces so that so that every regular first countable space in

$$\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k\}^\perp$$

is a continuous images of **separable metric spaces**?

Three orthogonal spaces

Definition

We say that two spaces X and Y are **orthogonal** and write $X \perp Y$ if they don't contain uncountable isomorphic subspaces.

Three orthogonal spaces

Definition

We say that two spaces X and Y are **orthogonal** and write $X \perp Y$ if they don't contain uncountable isomorphic subspaces.

Let $D(\aleph_1)$ be the discrete space on \aleph_1 points.

Let B be a fixed \aleph_1 -dense set of reals with the topology induced from \mathbb{R} .

Let $B(\rightarrow)$ be the set B with the topology induced by the basis:

$$[x, y) \quad (x, y \in B, x < y).$$

Three orthogonal spaces

Definition

We say that two spaces X and Y are **orthogonal** and write $X \perp Y$ if they don't contain uncountable isomorphic subspaces.

Let $D(\aleph_1)$ be the discrete space on \aleph_1 points.

Let B be a fixed \aleph_1 -dense set of reals with the topology induced from \mathbb{R} .

Let $B(\rightarrow)$ be the set B with the topology induced by the basis:

$$[x, y) \quad (x, y \in B, x < y).$$

Remark

Note that $D(\aleph_1)$, $B(\rightarrow)$ and B are pairwise orthogonal.

Three orthogonal spaces

Definition

We say that two spaces X and Y are **orthogonal** and write $X \perp Y$ if they don't contain uncountable isomorphic subspaces.

Let $D(\aleph_1)$ be the discrete space on \aleph_1 points.

Let B be a fixed \aleph_1 -dense set of reals with the topology induced from \mathbb{R} .

Let $B(\rightarrow)$ be the set B with the topology induced by the basis:

$$[x, y) \quad (x, y \in B, x < y).$$

Remark

Note that $D(\aleph_1)$, $B(\rightarrow)$ and B are pairwise orthogonal.

Theorem (Baumgartner, 1973, 1984)

PFA implies that $D(\omega_1)$ embeds into $B(\rightarrow) \times B(\rightarrow)$.

More metrization criteria

Question

Is every first countable space in

$$\{D(\aleph_1), B(\rightarrow)\}^\perp$$

continuous images of **separable metric spaces**?

More metrization criteria

Question

Is every first countable space in

$$\{D(\aleph_1), B(\rightarrow)\}^\perp$$

continuous images of **separable metric spaces**?

Question

Is every first countable space in

$$\{X \in \text{Top} : X^2 \perp D(\aleph_1)\}$$

a continuous images of **separable metric spaces**?

Question (Fremlin 1980's)

Is every compact space in $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ an at most 2-to-1 continuous preimage of a **compact metric space**?

Question (Fremlin 1980's)

Is every compact space in $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ an at most 2-to-1 continuous preimage of a **compact metric space**?

Question

Is every compact space in $\{D(\aleph_1), B(\rightarrow)\}^\perp$ **metrizable**?

Question (Fremlin 1980's)

Is every compact space in $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ an at most 2-to-1 continuous preimage of a **compact metric space**?

Question

Is every compact space in $\{D(\aleph_1), B(\rightarrow)\}^\perp$ **metrizable**?

Remark

The **split interval** $[0, 1] \times \{0, 1\}$ is a non-metrizable compact space in $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$.

Applications

Definition

A space X is **perfect** if closed subsets of X are G_δ .

Applications

Definition

A space X is **perfect** if closed subsets of X are G_δ .

Proposition

If X is a nonmetrizable compactum then its square $X \times X$ is not perfect (its diagonal is not G_δ).

Applications

Definition

A space X is **perfect** if closed subsets of X are G_δ .

Proposition

If X is a nonmetrizable compactum then its square $X \times X$ is not perfect (its diagonal is not G_δ).

Proposition

Every non metrizable perfect compactum contains an uncountable subspace orthogonal to the class of uncountable metrizable subspace.

Theorem (Gruenhage, 1986, 1990)

Assume PFA and that every uncountable regular first countable space contains a subspace isomorphic to one of the spaces $D(\aleph_1)$, $B(\rightarrow)$, B . Then $D(\aleph_1) \hookrightarrow X \times Y$ for every pair X and Y of nonmetrizable compacta.

Theorem (Gruenhage, 1986, 1990)

Assume PFA and that every uncountable regular first countable space contains a subspace isomorphic to one of the spaces $D(\aleph_1)$, $B(\rightarrow)$, B . Then $D(\aleph_1) \hookrightarrow X \times Y$ for every pair X and Y of nonmetrizable compacta.

Theorem (Gruenhage, 1986, 1990)

Assume PFA and that every uncountable regular first countable space contains a subspace isomorphic to one of the spaces $D(\aleph_1)$, $B(\rightarrow)$, B . Then every perfect locally connected compactum is metrizable.

The Baire-class-one version of the Basis Problem

The Baire-class-one version of the Basis Problem

Theorem (T., 1999)

Every compact set of Baire-class-one functions on a Polish space belonging to $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ is an at most 2-to-1 preimage of a compact metric space.

The Baire-class-one version of the Basis Problem

Theorem (T., 1999)

Every compact set of Baire-class-one functions on a Polish space belonging to $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ is an at most 2-to-1 preimage of a compact metric space.

Theorem (T., 1999)

*Every compact set of Baire-class-one functions on a Polish space belonging to $\{D(\aleph_1), [0, 1] \times \{0, 1\}\}^\perp$ is **metrizable**.*

Separation

Definition

A topological space X is **separated** if to every point x of X we can assign a neighbourhood U_x in such a way that for all $x \neq y$ in X either $x \notin U_y$ or $y \notin U_x$.

Separation

Definition

A topological space X is **separated** if to every point x of X we can assign a neighbourhood U_x in such a way that for all $x \neq y$ in X either $x \notin U_y$ or $y \notin U_x$.

Example

The space $B(\rightarrow)$ is separated by taking $U_x = [x, \infty)$ for $x \in B$.

Separation

Definition

A topological space X is **separated** if to every point x of X we can assign a neighbourhood U_x in such a way that for all $x \neq y$ in X either $x \notin U_y$ or $y \notin U_x$.

Example

The space $B(\rightarrow)$ is separated by taking $U_x = [x, \infty)$ for $x \in B$.

Remark

Note that a separated space contains no uncountable continuous image of a separable metric space. In fact we have the following

Separation

Definition

A topological space X is **separated** if to every point x of X we can assign a neighbourhood U_x in such a way that for all $x \neq y$ in X either $x \notin U_y$ or $y \notin U_x$.

Example

The space $B(\rightarrow)$ is separated by taking $U_x = [x, \infty)$ for $x \in B$.

Remark

Note that a separated space contains no uncountable continuous image of a separable metric space. In fact we have the following

Proposition

A first countable space X is a **continuous image of a separable metric space** iff for every neighbourhood assignment $U_x (x \in X)$ there is a countable partition $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} X_n$ such that for all n and $x, y \in X_n$, we have that $x \in U_y$ and $y \in U_x$.

Axioms for the Basis Problem

Axioms for the Basis Problem

Definition

For a topological space X , let OGA_X assert that if $G = (X, E)$ is an **open graph** on X then either G is **countably chromatic** or G contains an **uncountable clique**.

Axioms for the Basis Problem

Definition

For a topological space X , let OGA_X assert that if $G = (X, E)$ is an **open graph** on X then either G is **countably chromatic** or G contains an **uncountable clique**.

Remark

1. If Y is a continuous image of X then OGA_X implies OGA_Y .
2. OGA_X fails for uncountable discrete spaces X .

Axioms for the Basis Problem

Definition

For a topological space X , let OGA_X assert that if $G = (X, E)$ is an **open graph** on X then either G is **countably chromatic** or G contains an **uncountable clique**.

Remark

1. If Y is a continuous image of X then OGA_X implies OGA_Y .
2. OGA_X fails for uncountable discrete spaces X .

Theorem (T., 1989)

PFA implies OGA_X for every continuous image X of a separable metric space.

Axioms for the Basis Problem

Definition

For a topological space X , let OGA_X assert that if $G = (X, E)$ is an **open graph** on X then either G is **countably chromatic** or G contains an **uncountable clique**.

Remark

1. If Y is a continuous image of X then OGA_X implies OGA_Y .
2. OGA_X fails for uncountable discrete spaces X .

Theorem (T., 1989)

PFA implies OGA_X for every continuous image X of a separable metric space.

Theorem (T. , 1983)

PFA implies that every space in $D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ is hereditarily Lindelöf.

Corollary (PFA)

$X^2 \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ implies OGA_X for every regular space X .

Proof.

Let $G = (X, \mathcal{O})$ be a given open graph on X . Since the family \mathcal{U} of sets of the form $U \times V$ for U and V open subsets of X such that $U \times V \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is an open cover of the Lindelöf subspace \mathcal{O} of X^2 , there is a sequence $U_n \times V_n$ of elements of \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n \times V_n$. Pick a second countable topology τ on X such that U_n and V_n belong to τ for all n . Then G is also an open graph on the second countable space (X, τ) . □

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA and let X be a space of cardinality \aleph_1 with weaker metrizable topology. Then OGA_X implies $X \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA and let X be a space of cardinality \aleph_1 with weaker metrizable topology. Then OGA_X implies $X \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$.

Question (PFA)

In which class of spaces $X \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ implies $X^2 \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$?

In other words, in which class of spaces X the three statements $X \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$, OGA_X and $X^2 \in D(\aleph_1)^\perp$ are equivalent?

For example, is this true in the class of first countable regular spaces?

OGA for powers of Moore's L-space

A **C-sequence** is a sequence $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $C_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}$ and C_α is a cofinal subset of α of order type ω for limit α 's.

OGA for powers of Moore's L-space

A **C-sequence** is a sequence $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $C_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}$ and C_α is a cofinal subset of α of order type ω for limit α 's.

The **walk** from β towards a smaller ordinal α is the sequence

$\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \dots > \beta_n = \alpha$ such that $\beta_{i+1} = \min C_{\beta_i} \setminus \alpha$ for each $i < n$.

OGA for powers of Moore's L-space

A **C-sequence** is a sequence $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $C_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}$ and C_α is a cofinal subset of α of order type ω for limit α 's.

The **walk** from β towards a smaller ordinal α is the sequence

$\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \dots > \beta_n = \alpha$ such that $\beta_{i+1} = \min C_{\beta_i} \setminus \alpha$ for each $i < n$.

The **maximal weight** $\rho_1 : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is defined recursively by

$$\rho_1(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{|C_\beta \cap \alpha|, \rho_1(\alpha, \min(C_\beta \setminus \alpha))\}$$

with boundary value $\rho_1(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$.

$\rho_{1\beta} : \beta \rightarrow \omega$ is defined by $\rho_{1\beta}(\alpha) = \rho_1(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha < \beta$.

OGA for powers of Moore's L-space

A **C-sequence** is a sequence $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $C_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}$ and C_α is a cofinal subset of α of order type ω for limit α 's.

The **walk** from β towards a smaller ordinal α is the sequence

$$\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \dots > \beta_n = \alpha \text{ such that } \beta_{i+1} = \min C_{\beta_i} \setminus \alpha \text{ for each } i < n.$$

The **maximal weight** $\rho_1 : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is defined recursively by

$$\rho_1(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{|C_\beta \cap \alpha|, \rho_1(\alpha, \min(C_\beta \setminus \alpha))\}$$

with boundary value $\rho_1(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$.

$\rho_{1\beta} : \beta \rightarrow \omega$ is defined by $\rho_{1\beta}(\alpha) = \rho_1(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha < \beta$. The **lower trace** $L : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ is recursively defined by

$$L(\alpha, \beta) = (L(\alpha, \min(C_\beta \setminus \alpha)) \cup \{\max(C_\beta \cap \alpha)\}) \setminus \max(C_\beta \cap \alpha)$$

with boundary value $L(\alpha, \alpha) = \emptyset$.

The **lower trace oscillation** $osc : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is defined by, for $\alpha < \beta$,

$$osc(\alpha, \beta) = |Osc(\rho_{1\alpha}, \rho_{1\beta}; L(\alpha, \beta))|,$$

where the **usual oscillation** Osc is defined by

$$Osc(s, t; F) = \{\xi \in F \setminus \{\min F\} : s(\xi^-) \leq t(\xi^-) \text{ and } s(\xi) > t(\xi)\}$$

where F is a finite set of ordinals, $s, t : F \rightarrow Ord$ are functions from F to ordinals and ξ^- is the greatest element of F below ξ .

The **lower trace oscillation** $osc : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is defined by, for $\alpha < \beta$,

$$osc(\alpha, \beta) = |Osc(\rho_{1\alpha}, \rho_{1\beta}; L(\alpha, \beta))|,$$

where the **usual oscillation** Osc is defined by

$$Osc(s, t; F) = \{\xi \in F \setminus \{\min F\} : s(\xi^-) \leq t(\xi^-) \text{ and } s(\xi) > t(\xi)\}$$

where F is a finite set of ordinals, $s, t : F \rightarrow Ord$ are functions from F to ordinals and ξ^- is the greatest element of F below ξ . Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and fix $\{z_\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ rationally independent.

The **lower trace oscillation** $osc : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is defined by, for $\alpha < \beta$,

$$osc(\alpha, \beta) = |Osc(\rho_{1\alpha}, \rho_{1\beta}; L(\alpha, \beta))|,$$

where the **usual oscillation** Osc is defined by

$$Osc(s, t; F) = \{\xi \in F \setminus \{\min F\} : s(\xi^-) \leq t(\xi^-) \text{ and } s(\xi) > t(\xi)\}$$

where F is a finite set of ordinals, $s, t : F \rightarrow Ord$ are functions from F to ordinals and ξ^- is the greatest element of F below ξ . Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and fix $\{z_\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ rationally independent. Define $w_\beta \in \mathbb{T}^{\omega_1}$ by

$$w_\beta(\alpha) = z_\alpha^{osc(\alpha, \beta) + 1} \text{ for } \alpha < \beta$$

and $w_\beta(\alpha) = 1$ otherwise.

The **lower trace oscillation** $osc : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is defined by, for $\alpha < \beta$,

$$osc(\alpha, \beta) = |Osc(\rho_{1\alpha}, \rho_{1\beta}; L(\alpha, \beta))|,$$

where the **usual oscillation** Osc is defined by

$$Osc(s, t; F) = \{\xi \in F \setminus \{\min F\} : s(\xi^-) \leq t(\xi^-) \text{ and } s(\xi) > t(\xi)\}$$

where F is a finite set of ordinals, $s, t : F \rightarrow Ord$ are functions from F to ordinals and ξ^- is the greatest element of F below ξ . Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and fix $\{z_\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ rationally independent. Define $w_\beta \in \mathbb{T}^{\omega_1}$ by

$$w_\beta(\alpha) = z_\alpha^{osc(\alpha, \beta)+1} \text{ for } \alpha < \beta$$

and $w_\beta(\alpha) = 1$ otherwise.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{w_\beta : \beta < \omega_1\}$ viewed as subspace of \mathbb{T}^{ω_1} .

Theorem (Moore, 2006)

1. \mathcal{L} is hereditarily Lindelöf.
2. \mathcal{L} is not separable.
3. Every continuous function from \mathcal{L} into a metric space has countable range.
4. $D(\omega_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^2$.

Theorem (Moore, 2006)

1. \mathcal{L} is hereditarily Lindelöf.
2. \mathcal{L} is not separable.
3. Every continuous function from \mathcal{L} into a metric space has countable range.
4. $D(\omega_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^2$.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

PFA implies $OCA_{\mathcal{L}^n}$ for any $n < \omega$.

Inner topologies

Definition

For a topological space (X, τ) and a collection $\mathcal{C} \subset P(X)$, the **inner topology** $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ induced by \mathcal{C} is the topology with base

$$\{\{x\} \cup O_{in}^{\mathcal{C}} : x \in O, O \in \tau\}$$

where $O_{in}^{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcup \{C \in \mathcal{C} : C \subset O\}$.

Inner topologies

Definition

For a topological space (X, τ) and a collection $\mathcal{C} \subset P(X)$, the **inner topology** $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ induced by \mathcal{C} is the topology with base

$$\{\{x\} \cup O_{in}^{\mathcal{C}} : x \in O, O \in \tau\}$$

where $O_{in}^{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcup \{C \in \mathcal{C} : C \subset O\}$.

Remark

Note that the inner topology is a topology that is finer than the original topology. If we take \mathcal{C} to be $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ or any **network**, then $\tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}} = \tau$.

Remark

For a subspace $Y \subset X$, by $(Y, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ denotes the corresponding subspace of $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$.

In other words, the topology of $(Y, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ is generated by

$$\{(\{x\} \cup \mathcal{O}^{I, \mathcal{C}}) \cap Y : \mathcal{O} \in \tau, x \in \mathcal{O} \cap Y\}.$$

It may happen that $(Y, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}}) \neq (Y, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}_Y})$ where

$\mathcal{C}_Y = \{C \cap Y : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and $\tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}_Y}$ can be viewed as the inner topology of Y induced by \mathcal{C}_Y .

However, if we enlarge \mathcal{C} to $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \cup \{C \cap Y : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, then

$$(Y, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}'}) = (Y, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}'_Y}).$$

Lemma

If an inner topology induced by some family \mathcal{C} is hereditarily Lindelöf, then $|O \setminus O_{in}^{\mathcal{C}}| \leq \aleph_0$ for any open set O .

Lemma

If an inner topology induced by some family \mathcal{C} is hereditarily Lindelöf, then $|O \setminus O_{in}^{\mathcal{C}}| \leq \aleph_0$ for any open set O .

Lemma

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection and $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ is hereditarily Lindelöf for some $\mathcal{C} \subset P(X)$, then $(Y, \tau_{in}^{f(\mathcal{C})})$ is hereditarily Lindelöf where $f(\mathcal{C}) = \{f[C] : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Inner topologies and the Basis Problem

Inner topologies and the Basis Problem

Definition

An inner topology $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ of some topological space (X, τ) is **countably generated** if the family \mathcal{C} is countable.

Inner topologies and the Basis Problem

Definition

An inner topology $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ of some topological space (X, τ) is **countably generated** if the family \mathcal{C} is countable.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Let (X, τ) be a regular space that admits a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology, then either

1. (X, τ) is a continuous image of a separable metric space, or
2. $B(\rightarrow)$ embeds into (X, τ) .

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is first countable. regular space admitting a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology. Then X contains a subspace isomorphic to B or a subspace isomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is first countable. regular space admitting a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology. Then X contains a subspace isomorphic to B or a subspace isomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose X is a regular space admitting a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology. Then OCA_X holds.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA.

Let (X, τ) be a first countable regular space. Then either,

1. For any countable collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of X , the space $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ is σ -discrete, or
2. X contains a subspace isomorphic to B or a subspace isomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA.

Let (X, τ) be a first countable regular space. Then either,

1. For any countable collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of X , the space $(X, \tau_{in}^{\mathcal{C}})$ is σ -discrete, or
2. X contains a subspace isomorphic to B or a subspace isomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Let X be a first countable regular hereditarily Lindelöf space of size \aleph_1 with a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology. Then there is a partition $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} X_n$ such that each X_n is either metrizable or isomorphic to a subspace of $\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)$.

Basis problem for compact space

Basis problem for compact space

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is a regular space, M a regular space with a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology and $f : X \rightarrow M$ is a finite-to-one perfect mapping. Then every uncountable subset of X contains a subspace homeomorphic to B or a subspace homeomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Basis problem for compact space

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is a regular space, M a regular space with a countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology and $f : X \rightarrow M$ is a finite-to-one perfect mapping. Then every uncountable subset of X contains a subspace homeomorphic to B or a subspace homeomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Corollary (PFA)

If a compact space X admits a finite-to-one map to a metric space, then every uncountable subset of X contains an subspace isomorphic to B or a subspace isomorphic to $B(\rightarrow)$.

Perfect preimages

Perfect preimages

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf perfect preimage of a metric space. Then there is a 2-to-1 perfect map from X to a subspace of $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$. In particular, X is first countable and there is an increasing union $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$ such that each X_α admits a 2-to-1 perfect map to a metric space.

Perfect preimages

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf perfect preimage of a metric space. Then there is a 2-to-1 perfect map from X to a subspace of $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$. In particular, X is first countable and there is an increasing union $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$ such that each X_α admits a 2-to-1 perfect map to a metric space.

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. Suppose that X is a regular hereditarily Lindelöf perfect preimage of a metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is homeomorphic to a subspace of $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$. Hence $w(X) \leq \omega_1$ and X is an increasing union of ω_1 metrizable subspaces.*
- (ii) Every subspace of size continuum contains an uncountable metrizable subspace.*
- (iii) For any $Y \in [X]^{\omega_2}$, there is $Z \in [Y]^{\omega_1}$ orthogonal to $\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)$.*

Corollary (PFA)

1. *Every uncountable compact space K contains an uncountable subset that is either metrizable or a subspace of $\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)$*
2. *Moreover, if a compact space K is orthogonal to $D(\aleph_1)$, then every subset of K of size continuum contains an uncountable subset that is either homeomorphic to B or to $B(\rightarrow)$.*

Corollary (PFA)

1. *Every uncountable compact space K contains an uncountable subset that is either metrizable or a subspace of $\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)$*
2. *Moreover, if a compact space K is orthogonal to $D(\aleph_1)$, then every subset of K of size continuum contains an uncountable subset that is either homeomorphic to B or to $B(\rightarrow)$.*

Corollary (PFA)

If an uncountable regular space X is a perfect preimage of a metric space, then X contains a subspace homeomorphic to one of the three spaces $D(\aleph_1)$, $B(\rightarrow)$, B .

Open set-mapping principle

Open set-mapping principle

Definition

For a space (X, τ) , an **open set mapping** is a mapping $N : X \rightarrow \tau$ such that $x \in N(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Open set-mapping principle

Definition

For a space (X, τ) , an **open set mapping** is a mapping $N : X \rightarrow \tau$ such that $x \in N(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition

For a regular space (X, τ) , we let OSM_X asserts that for any open set-mapping $N : X \rightarrow \tau$, there is a partition of X into countably many pieces X_n such that for each n and x, y in X_n , either $x \in N(y)$ or $y \in N(x)$.

Open set-mapping principle

Definition

For a space (X, τ) , an **open set mapping** is a mapping $N : X \rightarrow \tau$ such that $x \in N(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition

For a regular space (X, τ) , we let OSM_X asserts that for any open set-mapping $N : X \rightarrow \tau$, there is a partition of X into countably many pieces X_n such that for each n and x, y in X_n , either $x \in N(y)$ or $y \in N(x)$.

Proposition

OSM_X holds for every continuous image of a separable metric space.

Proposition

$OSM_{\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)}$ holds.

Proposition

$OSM_{\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)}$ holds.

Proposition

$OSM_{\mathcal{L}}$ fails for Moore's L -space \mathcal{L} .

Proposition

$OSM_{\mathbb{R}(\rightarrow)}$ holds.

Proposition

$OSM_{\mathcal{L}}$ fails for Moore's L -space \mathcal{L} .

Theorem (Peng-T., 2022)

Assume PFA. If X is a regular space with countably generated hereditarily Lindelöf inner topology then OSM_X holds.

References

1. J. E. Baumgartner, All \aleph_1 -dense sets of reals can be isomorphic, *Fund. Math.*, **79** (1973), 101-106
2. G. Gruenhage, Perfectly normal compacta, cosmic spaces, and some partition problems, in: *Open Problems in Topology*, pp. 85-90. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
3. J. T. Moore, A five element basis for the uncountable linear orders, *Annals of Mathematics* (2) 163: 669-688, 2006.
4. J. T. Moore, A solution to the L space problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **19** (3) (2006) 717-736.
5. Y. Peng and S. Todorćević, Analysis of a topological basis problem, accepted for publication 2022.
6. S. Todorćević, Forcing positive partition relations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **280** (1983), no. 2, 703-720.
7. S. Todorćević, Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals, *Acta Math.*, **159** (3-4) (1987) 261-294.
8. S. Todorćević, Compact subsets of the first Baire class, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4: 1179-1212, 1999.