



Continuity of coordinate functionals related to ideal (filter) Schauder basis

Jarosław Swaczyna

Łódź University of Technology

SetTop 2022

joint work with Noé de Rancourt and Tomasz Kania



Metrics



Topologists

Cast it into the fire. Destroy it!



Geometers

No.



Definition

We say that a sequence (x_n) is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x, x_n) > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Observation

For the ideal *Fin* \mathcal{I} -convergence is just the classical one.

Definition

We say that a sequence (x_n) is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x, x_n) > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Observation

For the ideal *Fin* \mathcal{I} -convergence is just the classical one.

Definition

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω , we say that a sequence (e_n) is \mathcal{I} -basis if for every $x \in X$ there exists a unique sequence $(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega$ such that $x = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_n e_n$. We denote the coordinate functionals by e_n^* and we set $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* e_i$.

Question (Kadets)

Are e_n^* continuous for the \mathcal{I} basis? At least for nice filters, e.g. \mathcal{I}_{st} ?

Definition

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω , we say that a sequence (e_n) is \mathcal{I} -basis if for every $x \in X$ there exists a unique sequence $(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega$ such that $x = \sum_{n, \mathcal{I}} \alpha_n e_n$. We denote the coordinate functionals by e_n^* and we set $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* e_i$.

Question (Kadets)

Are e_n^* continuous for the \mathcal{I} basis? At least for nice filters, e.g. \mathcal{I}_{st} ?

Definition

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω , we say that a sequence (e_n) is \mathcal{I} -basis if for every $x \in X$ there exists a unique sequence $(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega$ such that $x = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_n e_n$. We denote the coordinate functionals by e_n^* and we set $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^* e_i$.

Question (Kadets)

Are e_n^* continuous for the \mathcal{I} basis? At least for nice filters, e.g. \mathcal{I}_{st} ?

We consider the space

$S := \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \text{ is convergent}\}$ equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_n)\| = \sup_{n \in \omega} \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e_i\|$, and map $T: S \rightarrow X$ given by $T((\alpha_n)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. Clearly T is a bijection. It is also continuous. Now it remains to prove that S is a Banach space, and use the open mapping principle. Byproduct: the norms of projections have common bound.

We consider the space

$S := \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \text{ is convergent}\}$ equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_n)\| = \sup_{n \in \omega} \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e_i\|$, and map $T: S \rightarrow X$ given by $T((\alpha_n)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. Clearly T is a bijection. It is also continuous. Now it remains to prove that S is a Banach space, and use the open mapping principle. Byproduct: the norms of projections have common bound.

We consider the space

$S := \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \text{ is convergent}\}$ equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_n)\| = \sup_{n \in \omega} \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e_i\|$, and map $T: S \rightarrow X$ given by $T((\alpha_n)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. Clearly T is a bijection. It is also continuous. Now it remains to prove that S is a Banach space, and use the open mapping principle. Byproduct: the norms of projections have common bound.

We consider the space

$S := \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \text{ is convergent}\}$ equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_n)\| = \sup_{n \in \omega} \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e_i\|$, and map $T: S \rightarrow X$ given by $T((\alpha_n)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. Clearly T is a bijection. It is also continuous. Now it remains to prove that S is a Banach space, and use the open mapping principle. Byproduct: the norms of projections have common bound.

We consider the space

$S := \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \text{ is convergent}\}$ equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_n)\| = \sup_{n \in \omega} \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e_i\|$, and map $T: S \rightarrow X$ given by $T((\alpha_n)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. Clearly T is a bijection. It is also continuous. Now it remains to prove that S is a Banach space, and use the open mapping principle. *Byproduct: the norms of projections have common bound.*

We consider the space

$S := \{(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{K}^\omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \text{ is convergent}\}$ equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_n)\| = \sup_{n \in \omega} \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e_i\|$, and map $T: S \rightarrow X$ given by $T((\alpha_n)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. Clearly T is a bijection. It is also continuous. Now it remains to prove that S is a Banach space, and use the open mapping principle. Byproduct: the norms of projections have common bound.

Problems with classical proof

We consider the space ℓ_2 and we let $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, where (e_n) stands for standard basis. Sequence (x_n) is a \mathcal{I}_{st} basis, but projections P_n related to it are not uniformly bounded.

The standard proof will not work.

Partial answer (Kochanek 2012)

If \mathcal{I} is an ideal generated by less than \mathfrak{p} sets, then the coordinate projections associated with \mathcal{I} -basis are continuous.

Problems with classical proof

We consider the space ℓ_2 and we let $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, where (e_n) stands for standard basis. Sequence (x_n) is a \mathcal{I}_{st} basis, but projections P_n related to it are not uniformly bounded. The standard proof will not work.

Partial answer (Kochanek 2012)

If \mathcal{I} is an ideal generated by less than \mathfrak{p} sets, then the coordinate projections associated with \mathcal{I} -basis are continuous.

Problems with classical proof

We consider the space ℓ_2 and we let $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, where (e_n) stands for standard basis. Sequence (x_n) is a \mathcal{I}_{st} basis, but projections P_n related to it are not uniformly bounded. The standard proof will not work.

Partial answer (Kochanek 2012)

If \mathcal{I} is an ideal generated by less than \mathfrak{p} sets, then the coordinate projections associated with \mathcal{I} -basis are continuous.

Theorem

We assume enough Large Cardinals (eg. infinitely many Woodin's with a measureable above) to get that

- every subset of \mathbb{R} that is in $L(\mathbb{R})$ has the Baire property,
- in $L(\mathbb{R})$ every linear map between Fréchet spaces (in particular, Banach spaces) is continuous (Garnir, Wright)
- every projective formula is absolute between V and $L(\mathbb{R})$

Outdated proof - the space SB

Let $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ denote the hyperspace comprising all non-empty closed subsets of $C(\Delta)$.

Following Godefroy and Saint-Raymond, we shall call a Polish topology τ on $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ *admissible*, whenever

- $E^+(U) \in \tau$ for every open set $U \subseteq C(\Delta)$,
- there is a subbase \mathcal{B} of τ such that every set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ may be written as a union of countably many sets of the form $E^+(U) \setminus E^+(V)$, where U and V are open in $C(\Delta)$.

It turns out that the set SB comprising all closed linear subspaces of $C(\Delta)$ is \mathcal{G}_δ in $\mathcal{F}(C(2^\omega))$ and, as such, the relative topology on SB is Polish. Recently some other approaches to the universal space for separable Banach spaces was made (see eg paper by Cúth, Doležal, Doucha and Kurka).

Outdated proof - the space SB

Let $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ denote the hyperspace comprising all non-empty closed subsets of $C(\Delta)$.

Following Godefroy and Saint-Raymond, we shall call a Polish topology τ on $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ *admissible*, whenever

- $E^+(U) \in \tau$ for every open set $U \subseteq C(\Delta)$,
- there is a subbase \mathcal{B} of τ such that every set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ may be written as a union of countably many sets of the form $E^+(U) \setminus E^+(V)$, where U and V are open in $C(\Delta)$.

It turns out that the set SB comprising all closed linear subspaces of $C(\Delta)$ is \mathcal{G}_δ in $\mathcal{F}(C(2^\omega))$ and, as such, the relative topology on SB is Polish. Recently some other approaches to the universal space for separable Banach spaces was made (see eg paper by Cúth, Doležal, Doucha and Kurka).

Outdated proof - the space SB

Let $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ denote the hyperspace comprising all non-empty closed subsets of $C(\Delta)$.

Following Godefroy and Saint-Raymond, we shall call a Polish topology τ on $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ *admissible*, whenever

- $E^+(U) \in \tau$ for every open set $U \subseteq C(\Delta)$,
- there is a subbase \mathcal{B} of τ such that every set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ may be written as a union of countably many sets of the form $E^+(U) \setminus E^+(V)$, where U and V are open in $C(\Delta)$.

It turns out that the set SB comprising all closed linear subspaces of $C(\Delta)$ is \mathcal{G}_δ in $\mathcal{F}(C(2^\omega))$ and, as such, the relative topology on SB is Polish. Recently some other approaches to the universal space for separable Banach spaces was made (see eg paper by Cúth, Doležal, Doucha and Kurka).

Let $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ denote the hyperspace comprising all non-empty closed subsets of $C(\Delta)$.

Following Godefroy and Saint-Raymond, we shall call a Polish topology τ on $\mathcal{F}(C(\Delta))$ *admissible*, whenever

- $E^+(U) \in \tau$ for every open set $U \subseteq C(\Delta)$,
- there is a subbase \mathcal{B} of τ such that every set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ may be written as a union of countably many sets of the form $E^+(U) \setminus E^+(V)$, where U and V are open in $C(\Delta)$.

It turns out that the set SB comprising all closed linear subspaces of $C(\Delta)$ is \mathcal{G}_δ in $\mathcal{F}(C(2^\omega))$ and, as such, the relative topology on SB is Polish. Recently some other approaches to the universal space for separable Banach spaces was made (see eg paper by Cúth, Doležal, Doucha and Kurka).

Theorem (Kania, S.)

Under LC the coordinate functionals of \mathcal{I} basis are continuous for any projective filter \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} .

Main proof

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{S}B \forall (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} \left[\neg \left(\forall y \in X \exists! (a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, \mathcal{F}} a_k x_k = y \right) \vee \right. \\ \left. \vee \left(\exists (M_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \forall y \in X \exists (a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, \mathcal{F}} a_k x_k = y \wedge |a_k| \leq \|y\| \cdot M_k \right) \right].$$

Outdated Main Theorem

Theorem (Kania, S.)

Under LC the coordinate functionals of \mathcal{I} basis are continuous for any projective filter \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} .

Lemma

Let X be a separable Banach space and let \mathcal{I} be a projective filter on \mathbb{N} of class \prod_n^1 . Suppose that $(z_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in X . Then, the following formula is \prod_n^1 :

$$\varphi((a_k)_{k=1}^\infty, z) \equiv \sum_{j, \mathcal{I}} a_k z_k = z.$$

Main proof

$$\forall x \in SB \forall (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in X^{\mathbb{N}} \left[\neg (\forall y \in X \exists! (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, \mathcal{I}} a_k x_k = y) \vee \right.$$

$$\left. \forall (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \forall y \in X \exists! (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, \mathcal{I}} a_k x_k = y \wedge |a_k| \leq \|y\| \cdot M_k \right]$$

Outdated Main Theorem

Theorem (Kania, S.)

Under LC the coordinate functionals of \mathcal{I} basis are continuous for any projective filter \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} .

Main proof

$$\forall X \in \text{SB} \forall (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} \left[\neg \left(\forall y \in X \exists! (a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, \mathcal{F}} a_k x_k = y \right) \vee \right. \\ \left. \vee \left(\exists (M_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \forall y \in X \exists (a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, \mathcal{F}} a_k x_k = y \wedge |a_k| \leq \|y\| \cdot M_k \right) \right].$$

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be analytic filter on \mathbb{N} . Then for every \mathcal{I} -basis of a Banach space the corresponding coordinate functionals are continuous.

Proof

$$e_n^*(x) \in U \Leftrightarrow \exists (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_i e_i = x \wedge \alpha_n \in U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall m \notin A \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i - x \right\| \leq \frac{1}{l} \wedge \alpha_n \in U$$

$$e_n^*(x) \in U \Leftrightarrow \forall b \in \mathbb{K} (b \in U) \vee e_n^*(x) \neq b$$

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be analytic filter on \mathbb{N} . Then for every \mathcal{I} -basis of a Banach space the corresponding coordinate functionals are continuous.

Proof

$$e_n^*(x) \in U \Leftrightarrow \exists (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_i e_i = x \wedge \alpha_n \in U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall m \notin A \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i - x \right\| \leq \frac{1}{l} \wedge \alpha_n \in U$$

$$e_n^*(x) \in U \Leftrightarrow \forall b \in \mathbb{K} (b \in U) \vee e_n^*(x) \neq b$$

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be analytic filter on \mathbb{N} . Then for every \mathcal{I} -basis of a Banach space the corresponding coordinate functionals are continuous.

Proof

$$e_n^*(x) \in U \Leftrightarrow \exists (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_i e_i = x \wedge \alpha_n \in U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall m \notin A \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i - x \right\| \leq \frac{1}{l} \wedge \alpha_n \in U$$

$$e_n^*(x) \in U \Leftrightarrow \forall b \in \mathbb{K} (b \in U) \vee e_n^*(x) \neq b$$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω (not necessarily projective). Let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis with continuous coordinate functionals. Then there exists an analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ on ω such that (x_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis.

Proof

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \subset \omega : \exists x \in X \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ A \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ (x, \varepsilon, A) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times 2^\omega : n \in A \vee \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{proj}_{\{0,1\}^\omega} \left[\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{B}_n \right]$$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω (not necessarily projective). Let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis with continuous coordinate functionals. Then there exists an analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ on ω such that (x_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis.

Proof

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \subset \omega : \exists x \in X \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ A \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ (x, \varepsilon, A) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times 2^\omega : n \in A \vee \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{proj}_{\{0,1\}^\omega} \left[\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{B}_n \right]$$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω (not necessarily projective). Let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis with continuous coordinate functionals. Then there exists an analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ on ω such that (x_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis.

Proof

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \subset \omega : \exists x \in X \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ A \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ (x, \varepsilon, A) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times 2^\omega : n \in A \vee \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{proj}_{\{0,1\}^\omega} \left[\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{B}_n \right]$$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω (not necessarily projective). Let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis with continuous coordinate functionals. Then there exists an analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ on ω such that (x_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis.

Proof

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \subset \omega : \exists x \in X \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ A \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| > \varepsilon \right\} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ (x, \varepsilon, A) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times 2^\omega : n \in A \vee \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^*(x) e_i - x \right\| \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{proj}_{\{0,1\}^\omega} \left[\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{B}_n \right]$$

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be analytic filter on \mathbb{N} . Then for every \mathcal{I} -basis of a Banach space the corresponding coordinate functionals are continuous.

Theorem

Assume that all Δ_n^1 -sets are Baire-measurable. Let \mathcal{F} be Σ_n^1 -ideal on ω . Then for every \mathcal{I} -basis the corresponding coordinate functionals are continuous.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω (not necessarily projective). Let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis with continuous coordinate functionals. Then there exists an analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ on ω such that (x_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis.

Q1

Does there exist a Banach space X , an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} and an \mathcal{I} -basis (e_n) for X such that not all coordinate projections (e_n^*) are continuous?

Q2

Let \mathcal{I} be a filter and let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis for a Banach space X with continuous coordinate functionals. Does there exist a Borel filter \mathcal{I}' such that (e_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis? Should it be the case, what is the smallest complexity of such \mathcal{I}' ? In particular, is the \mathcal{I}_{st} -basis of ℓ_2 provided earlier also an \mathcal{I}' -basis for some F_σ ideal \mathcal{I}' ?

Q3

Can one find an example of Banach space X , sequence $(e_n) \subset X$ and pair of ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}'$ such that (e_n) is both \mathcal{I} -base and \mathcal{I}' -base, but the coordinate functionals differ in those situations?

Questions

Q1

Does there exist a Banach space X , an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} and an \mathcal{I} -basis (e_n) for X such that not all coordinate projections (e_n^*) are continuous?

Q2

Let \mathcal{I} be a filter and let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis for a Banach space X with continuous coordinate functionals. Does there exist a Borel filter \mathcal{I}' such that (e_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis? Should it be the case, what is the smallest complexity of such \mathcal{I}' ? In particular, is the \mathcal{I}_{st} -basis of ℓ_2 provided earlier also an \mathcal{I}' -basis for some F_σ ideal \mathcal{I}' ?

Q3

Can one find an example of Banach space X , sequence $(e_n) \subset X$ and pair of ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}'$ such that (e_n) is both \mathcal{I} -base and \mathcal{I}' -base, but the coordinate functionals differ in those situations?

Questions

Q1

Does there exist a Banach space X , an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} and an \mathcal{I} -basis (e_n) for X such that not all coordinate projections (e_n^*) are continuous?

Q2

Let \mathcal{I} be a filter and let (e_n) be an \mathcal{I} -basis for a Banach space X with continuous coordinate functionals. Does there exist a Borel filter \mathcal{I}' such that (e_n) is also an \mathcal{I}' -basis? Should it be the case, what is the smallest complexity of such \mathcal{I}' ? In particular, is the \mathcal{I}_{st} -basis of ℓ_2 provided earlier also an \mathcal{I}' -basis for some F_σ ideal \mathcal{I}' ?

Q3

Can one find an example of Banach space X , sequence $(e_n) \subset X$ and pair of ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}'$ such that (e_n) is both \mathcal{I} -base and \mathcal{I}' -base, but the coordinate functionals differ in those situations?

Noé de Rancourt, Tomasz Kania, Jarosław Swaczyna, Continuity of coordinate functionals of filter bases in Banach spaces, submitted
Tomasz Kania, Jarosław Swaczyna, Large cardinals and continuity of coordinate functionals of filter bases in Banach spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc., 53 (1) (2021), 231-239

G. Godefroy and J. Saint-Raymond, Descriptive complexity of some isomorphism classes of Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 275 (2018), 1008–1022.

M. Cúth, M. Doležal, M. Doucha, O. Kurka, Polish spaces of Banach spaces, to appear in Forum Math. Sigma

T. Kochanek, \mathcal{F} -bases with brackets and with individual brackets in Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **211** (2012), 259–268.

J. Connor, M. Ganichev, and V. Kadets, A characterization of Banach spaces with separable duals via weak statistical convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **244** (2000), 251–261.

Thank you for your attention! Хвала на пажњи!

Gratiam vobis ago pro animis attentis!

Σας ευχαριστώ για την προσοχή σας!

Dziękuję za uwagę! Děkuji za pozornost!

Köszönöm a figyelmet! Grazie per l'attenzione!

Danke für Ihre Aufmerksamkeit!

ध्यान देने के एलधिन्ववाद! باتشکر از توجه شما

Gracias por su atención!

Hvala za vašo pozornost!

ขอขอบคุณสำหรับความสนใจของคุณ!