

## FACTORIZATION OF OPERATORS WITH $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ AND $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ KERNELS

Smiljana Jakšić<sup>1,2</sup> and Snježana Maksimović<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to prove that any linear operator with kernel in the spaces  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  is a composition of two operators in the same space.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010): 47B34; 45P05

*Key words and phrases:* Laguerre functions; ultradistributions over  $[0, \infty)^d$ ; kernel theorems; factorization algebra

### 1. Introduction

The test space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  for the space of tempered distributions supported by  $[0, \infty)$  is studied in [3], [9] and [12]; recently, the space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  is examined in [5]. In [6]  $G$ -type spaces,  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  and their dual spaces, i.e. the spaces of ultradistributions of Roumier type over  $[0, \infty)^d$ , are characterized in terms of their Fourier-Laguerre coefficients; cf. Duran [4] for the one-dimensional case. Actually, the result of [4] is extended and the full topological characterization is given in all dimensions, as well as applications to pseudo-differential operators with radial symbols.

In this paper we introduce  $g$ -type spaces,  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  and their dual spaces i.e. the spaces of ultradistributions of Beurling type over  $[0, \infty)^d$ . We give the kernel theorem for  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ .

For any topological vector space  $\mathcal{B}$ , the set of continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ , is a factorization algebra (also the term decomposition algebra can be used). This means that any operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is a composition of two operators  $T_1, T_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  since we can choose  $T_1$  as the identity operator and  $T_2 = T$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Hilbert space, then it follows from spectral decomposition that the set of compact operators on  $\mathcal{B}$  is a factorization algebra, where the factorization properties are obtained by straightforward applications of the spectral theorem.

An interesting subclass of linear and continuous operators on an  $L^2$  space concerns the set of all linear operators whose kernels belong to the Schwartz space (see e.g. [1], [7],[11]). Similar facts hold true for the set of operators with kernels in Gelfand-Shilov spaces (cf. [10]) and Pilipović spaces (cf. [2]).

---

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Forestry, University of Belgrade, Kneza Višeslava 1, 11000, Belgrade, Serbia, e-mail: smiljana.jaksic@sfb.bg.ac.rs

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Banja Luka, Patre 5, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina, e-mail: snjezana.maksimovic@etfbl.net

In this paper we consider the case when  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of all linear operators with kernels in  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  spaces. We prove that any such  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factorization algebra. Note that the identity operator does not belong to these operator classes.

## 2. Preliminaries

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  the sets of positive integers, integers, real and complex numbers, respectively;  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+^d = (0, \infty)^d$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d} = [0, \infty)^d$ . We use the standard multi-index notation. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Then  $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2}$ ,  $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_d$ ,  $k! = k_1! \cdots k_d!$ ,  $x^k = \prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{k_i}$ ,  $D^k = \prod_{i=1}^d \partial^{k_i} / \partial x_i^{k_i}$ . Furthermore, if  $x, \gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d}$  we also use  $x^\gamma = \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{\gamma_j}$ . In this case, if  $x_j = 0$  and  $\gamma_j = 0$ , we use the convention  $0^0 = 1$ .

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\gamma > -1$ , the  $j$ -th Laguerre polynomial of order  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$L_j^\gamma(x) = \frac{x^{-\gamma} e^x}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} (e^{-x} x^{\gamma+j}), \quad x \geq 0.$$

For  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\gamma_j > -1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , the  $d$ -dimensional  $n$ -th Laguerre polynomial of order  $\gamma$  is defined by  $L_n^\gamma(x) = L_{n_1}^{\gamma_1}(x_1) \dots L_{n_d}^{\gamma_d}(x_d)$ . For  $\gamma = 0$ , we write  $L_n(x)$  instead of  $L_n^0(x)$ .

The  $j$ -th Laguerre function (of order 0) is defined by  $l_j(x) = L_j(x)e^{-x/2}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and in a  $d$ -dimensional case we have  $l_n(x) = l_{n_1}(x_1) \dots l_{n_d}(x_d)$ ,  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . The Laguerre functions form an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . Also, they have a special role for the characterisation of the spaces  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  considered below.

### 2.1. Basic spaces

We denote by  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  the set  $(0, \infty)^d$  and by  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d}$  its closure, i.e.  $[0, \infty)^d$ . The space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  consists of all  $f \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d})$  such that all derivatives  $D^p f$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , extend to continuous functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d}$  and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d} x^k |D^p f(x)| < \infty, \quad \forall k, p \in \mathbb{N}_0^d.$$

Let  $A > 0$ . We denote by  $G_{\alpha, A}^{\alpha, A}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  the space of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  for which

$$\sup_{p, k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{\|t^{(p+k)/2} D^p f(t)\|_2}{A^{|p+k|} k^{(\alpha/2)k} p^{(\alpha/2)p}} < \infty.$$

With the following seminorms

$$\sigma_{A, j}(f) = \sup_{p, k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{\|t^{(p+k)/2} D^p f(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)}}{A^{|p+k|} k^{(\alpha/2)k} p^{(\alpha/2)p}} + \sup_{\substack{|p| \leq j \\ |k| \leq j}} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d} |t^k D^p f(t)|, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

one easily verifies that it becomes an  $(F)$ -space.

Define  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d) = \varinjlim_{A \rightarrow \infty} G_{\alpha,A}^{\alpha,A}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  and  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d) = \varinjlim_{A \rightarrow 0} G_{\alpha,A}^{\alpha,A}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ . Clearly,  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  are continuously injected into  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  i.e.

$$g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d) \hookrightarrow G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^d).$$

We immediately have

$$(2.1) \quad (G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d))' = \bigcup_{A \in \mathbb{N}} (G_{\alpha,A}^{\alpha,A}(\mathbb{R}_+^d))'$$

in the set theoretical sense (see [8, (1.2), p.34]). Since the sequence  $G_{\alpha,A}^{\alpha,A}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ , when  $A \rightarrow \infty$ , is reduced, we have

$$(2.2) \quad (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d))' = \bigcap_{A \in \mathbb{N}} (G_{\alpha,1/A}^{\alpha,1/A}(\mathbb{R}_+^d))'$$

in the set theoretical sense (see [8, (1.1), p.33]).

Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $a > 1$ . We define  $s^{\alpha,a}$  as the space of all complex sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$  for which  $\|\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}\|_{s^{\alpha,a}} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} |a_n| a^{|n|^{1/\alpha}} < \infty$ . With this norm  $s^{\alpha,a}$  becomes a  $(B)$ -space. We define  $s^\alpha = \varinjlim_{a \rightarrow 1^+} s^{\alpha,a}$  (resp.  $\sigma^\alpha = \varinjlim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{\alpha,a}$ ).

In particular,  $s^\alpha$  is a  $(DFN)$ -space (resp.  $\sigma^\alpha$  is a  $(FN)$ -space). The strong dual  $(s^\alpha)'$  of  $s^\alpha$  is an  $(FN)$ -space of all complex valued sequences  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$  such that, for each  $a > 1$ ,  $\|\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}\|_{(s^{\alpha,a})'} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} |b_n| a^{-|n|^{1/\alpha}} < \infty$  (resp. the strong dual  $(\sigma^\alpha)'$  of  $\sigma^\alpha$  is a  $(DFN)$ -space of all complex valued sequences  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$  such that there exists  $a > 1$  such that  $\|\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}\|_{(\sigma^{\alpha,a})'} < \infty$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** ([6, Theorem 5.7.]) *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  let  $a_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} f(t) l_n(t) dt$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Then  $f \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  if and only if there exist  $c > 0$  and  $a > 1$  such that  $|a_n| \leq ca^{-|n|^{1/\alpha}}$ .*

With small modifications of the arguments (using the closed graph theorem for an  $F$ -space), we have the next theorem

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ . For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  let  $a_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} f(t) l_n(t) dt$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Then  $f \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  if and only if for every  $a > 1$  there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $|a_n| \leq ca^{-|n|^{1/\alpha}}$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** ([6, Theorem 6.1]) *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . The mapping  $\iota : G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d) \rightarrow s^\alpha$ ,  $\iota(f) = \{\langle f, l_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ , is a topological isomorphism between  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  and  $s^\alpha$ .*

*For each  $f \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle f, l_n \rangle l_n$  is summable to  $f$  in  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ .*

In a similar way, we prove the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ . The mapping  $\iota : g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d) \rightarrow \sigma^\alpha$ ,  $\iota(f) = \{\langle f, l_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ , is a topological isomorphism between  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  and  $\sigma^\alpha$ .*

*For each  $f \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle f, l_n \rangle l_n$  is summable to  $f$  in  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ .*

The last four results are crucial and we will often tacitly apply them throughout the rest of this article.

### 3. $G$ - and $g$ - kernels

Firstly, we state the kernel theorems:

**Theorem 3.1.** ([6, Theorem 6.4.]) *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . We have the following canonical isomorphism:*

$$(3.1) \quad (G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}))' \hat{\otimes} (G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2}))' \cong (G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1+d_2}))' \cong \mathcal{L}(G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}), (G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2}))').$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ . We have the following canonical isomorphism:*

$$(3.2) \quad (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}))' \hat{\otimes} (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2}))' \cong (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1+d_2}))' \cong \mathcal{L}(g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}), (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2}))').$$

*Proof.* The proof for  $(g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d))'$  can be obtained in the same way as for  $(G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d))'$  in Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

The isomorphisms (3.1) and (3.2) require some comment. In what follows we use the convention that if  $T$  is a linear and continuous operator from  $G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1})$  to  $(G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2}))'$ , and  $g \in (G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_0}))'$ , then  $T \otimes g$  is the linear and continuous operator from  $G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1})$  to  $(G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_0}))'$ , given by

$$(T \otimes g) : f \mapsto (Tf) \otimes g.$$

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $T$  be a linear and continuous operator from  $G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1})$  to  $(G_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2}))'$  with the kernel  $K$ , and let  $d_0 \geq \min(d_1, d_2)$ . Then the following is true:*

(R) *If  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $K \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1})$ , then there are operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  with kernels  $K_1 \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_0+d_1})$  and  $K_2 \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_0})$ , respectively, such that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ .*

(B) *If  $\alpha > 1$  and  $K \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1})$ , then there are operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  with kernels  $K_1 \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_0+d_1})$  and  $K_2 \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_0})$ , respectively, such that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ .*

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . An operator with kernel in  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{2d})$  is sometimes called a regularizing operator with respect to  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ , because it extends uniquely to a continuous mapping from  $(G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d))'$ , into  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ .

Let  $\alpha > 1$ . An operator with kernel in  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{2d})$  is sometimes called a regularizing operator with respect to  $g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ .

*Proof.* First we assume that  $d_0 = d_1$ , and start to prove (R). Let  $l_{d,n}(x)$  be the Laguerre function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  of order  $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ . Then  $K$  possesses the expansion

$$(3.3) \quad K(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1}} a_{n,k} l_{d_2,n}(x) l_{d_1,k}(y),$$

where the coefficients  $a_{n,k}$  satisfy

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{n,k} |a_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| < \infty,$$

for some  $A > 0$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}$  and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{0,1}(z, y) &= \sum_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1}} c_{n,k} l_{d_1,n}(z) l_{d_1,k}(y) \\ K_{0,2}(x, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1}} b_{n,k} l_{d_2,n}(x) l_{d_1,k}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{n,k} = \chi_{n,k} e^{-\frac{A}{2}|n|^{1/\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,k} = a_{n,k} e^{\frac{A}{2}|k|^{1/\alpha}}$$

and  $\chi_{n,k}$  is the Kronecker delta. Then we have

$$\int K_{0,2}(x, z) K_{0,1}(z, y) dz = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1}} a_{n,k} l_{d_2,n}(x) l_{d_1,k}(y) = K(x, y).$$

Hence, if  $T_j$  is the operator with kernel  $K_{0,j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , then  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ . Furthermore,

$$\sup_{n,k} |b_{n,k} e^{\frac{A}{2}(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| \leq \sup_{n,k} |a_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{n,k} |c_{n,k} e^{\frac{A}{4}(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| = \sup_n |e^{-\frac{A}{2}|n|^{1/\alpha}} e^{\frac{A}{2}|n|^{1/\alpha}}| < \infty.$$

This implies that  $K_{0,1} \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1+d_1})$  and  $K_{0,2} \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1})$  (see Theorem 2.1). If we put  $K_1 = K_{0,1}$  and  $K_2 = K_{0,2}$ , we proved (R) in the case when  $d_0 = d_1$ .

In order to prove (B) assume that  $K \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1})$  and let  $a_{n,k}$  be the same as the above. Then (3.4) holds for any  $A > 0$ , which implies that if  $N \geq 0$  is an integer, then

$$(3.6) \quad \Sigma_N = \sup\{|k| : |a_{n,k}| \geq e^{-2(N+1)(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}^{d_2}\}$$

is finite. Let  $I_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1} : |k| \leq \Sigma_1 + 1\}$  and define inductively

$$I_j = \{k \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1} \setminus I_{j-1} : |k| \leq \Sigma_j + j\}, \quad j \geq 2.$$

Then

$$I_j \cap I_k = \emptyset \quad \text{when } j \neq k, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{N}^{d_1} = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} I_j.$$

Let  $K_{0,1}$  and  $K_{0,2}$  be as in (3.5) where

$$c_{n_1,k} = \chi_{n_1,k} e^{-j|k|^{1/\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n_2,k} = a_{n_2,k} e^{j|k|^{1/\alpha}},$$

$n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1}$ ,  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{d_2}$  and  $k \in I_j$ . If  $T_j$  is the operator with kernel  $K_{0,j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , then it follows that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ . Moreover, if  $A > 0$  we have

$$\sup_{n,k} |b_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| \leq J_1 + J_2,$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad J_1 = \sup_{j \leq A+1} \sup_n \sup_{k \in I_j} |b_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}|$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad J_2 = \sup_{j > A+1} \sup_n \sup_{k \in I_j} |b_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}|.$$

We will prove that  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are finite. Since in (3.7) we have the finite numbers of  $k$ , from (3.4) and the definition of  $b_{n,k}$  we obtain that  $J_1$  is finite.

For  $J_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \sup_{j > A+1} \sup_n \sup_{k \in I_j} |a_{n,k} e^{A|n|^{1/\alpha} + (A+j)|k|^{1/\alpha}}| \\ &\leq \sup_{j > A+1} \sup_n \sup_{k \in I_j} |e^{-2j(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})} e^{A|n|^{1/\alpha} + (A+j)|k|^{1/\alpha}}| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from (3.6). Hence,

$$\sup_{n,k} |b_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| < \infty,$$

which implies that  $K_{0,2} \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1})$ .

In a similar way if we replace  $b_{n,k}$  with  $c_{n,k}$  in the definition of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ ) we obtain

$$\sup_{n,k} |c_{n,k} e^{A(|n|^{1/\alpha} + |k|^{1/\alpha})}| < \infty,$$

which implies  $K_{0,1} \in g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1+d_1})$  and (B) follows in the case  $d_0 = d_1$ .

Next, assume that  $d_0 > d_1$  and let  $d = d_0 - d_1 \geq 1$ . Then we set

$$K_1(z, y) = K_{0,1}(z_1, y) l_{d,0}(z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad K_2(x, z) = K_{0,2}(x, z_1) l_{d,0}(z_2),$$

where  $z_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}$ ,  $z_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  and hence,  $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d_0}$ . Next, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d_0}} K_2(x, z) K_1(z, y) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1}} K_{0,2}(x, z_1) K_{0,1}(z_1, y) dz_1 = K(x, y).$$

In this case the assertion (R) and (B) follows from the equivalences

$$K_1 \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_0+d_1}) (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_0+d_1})) \iff K_{0,1} \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1+d_1}) (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1+d_1}))$$

and

$$K_2 \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_0}) (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_0})) \iff K_{0,1} \in G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1}) (g_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2+d_1})).$$

It remains to prove the result in the case  $d_0 \geq d_2$ . The rules of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are interchanged when taking the adjoint operators. Hence, the result follows from the first part of the proof in combination with the facts that  $G_\alpha^\alpha$  and  $g_\alpha^\alpha$  are invariant under pullbacks of bijective linear transformations i.e.  $(x, y) \mapsto F(x, y)$  belongs to  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{d_2})$  if and only if  $(y, x) \mapsto \overline{F(x, y)}$  belongs to  $G_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{d_2} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{d_1})$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the Project 19/6-020/961-38/15 of the Republic of Srpska Ministry of Science and Technology.

## References

- [1] Beals, R., Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications. *Duke Math. J.* 44 (1977), 45-57.
- [2] Chen, Y., Signahl, M., Toft, J., Factorizations and singular value estimates of operators with Gelfand-Shilov and Pilipović kernels. arXiv:1511.06257
- [3] Duran, A.J., Laguerre expansions of Tempered Distributions and Generalized Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 150 (1990), 166-180.
- [4] Duran, A.J., Laguerre expansions of Gel'fand-Shilov spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* 74 (1993), 280-300.
- [5] Jakšić, S., Prangoski, B., Extension theorem of Whitney type for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$  by the use of the Kernel Theorem. *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd*, 99 (113) (2016), 59-65.
- [6] Jakšić, S., Pilipović, S., Prangoski, B.,  $G$ -type spaces of ultradistributions over  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  and the Weyl pseudo-differential operators with radial symbols. arXiv:1602.04017.
- [7] Klis, C., A simple proof of the identity  $\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$ : In: *Proc. of the Conference on Convergence and Generalized Functions, Katowice 1983*, Preprint of the Institute of Math., Polish Academy of Sci., pp. 71-74.
- [8] Komatsu, H., Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* 20 (1973), 25-105.
- [9] Pilipović, S., On the Laguerre expansions of generalized functions. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* 11(1) (1989), 23-27.
- [10] Toft, J., Khrennikov, A., Nilsson, B., Nordebo, S., Decomposition of Gelfand-Shilov kernels into kernels of similar class. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 396 (1) (2012), 315-322.
- [11] Voigt, J., Factorization in some Fréchet algebras of differentiable functions. *Studia Math.* 77 (1984), 333-348.
- [12] Zayed, A. I., Laguerre series as boundary values. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 13 (2) (1982), 263-279.

*Received by the editors April 26, 2016*

*First published online October 12, 2016*