

## ON A FINITE DIFFERENCE ANALOGUE OF FORTH ORDER FOR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

Dragoslav Herceg<sup>1</sup>, Helena Maličić<sup>1</sup>, Ivana Likić<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** We consider a modification of a well-known finite difference analogue for boundary value problem obtained by a five-point difference scheme on a uniform mesh. For the matrix arising from this analogue some of its properties are derived.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):* 65L10, 65H10

*Key words and phrases:* Discrete analogue, boundary value problem, finite differences, five-band matrix, explicit inverse

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall concern ourselves with the boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} -u'' + q(x)u(x) &= f(x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) &= \alpha, \quad u(1) = \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q(x) \geq 0$  and both  $f(x)$  and  $q(x)$  possess four derivatives. Under these conditions it follows that the unique solution  $u(x)$  of (1) is of class  $C^{(6)}[0, 1]$ .

The numerical solution of two-point boundary value problem (1) is most commonly obtained by finite difference methods. We place a uniform mesh of size  $h = 1/(n + 1)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , and denote the mesh points of the discrete problem by  $x_i = ih$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1$ .

Denoting  $u(x_i)$  by  $u_i$  and  $u''(x_i)$  by  $u_i''$  we have for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ , see [1],

$$(2) \quad -u_i'' = \frac{h^{-2}}{12} (u_{i-2} - 16u_{i-1} + 30u_i - 16u_{i+1} + u_{i+2}) + r_i, \quad |r_i| \leq Mh^4.$$

Here and throughout the paper  $M$  denotes any positive constant independent of  $n$ . In order to form a discrete analogue for (1) we use (2) at the points  $x_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ ,

$$(3) \quad -u_1'' = \frac{h^{-2}}{12} (-14u_0 + 29u_1 - 16u_2 + u_3) + \frac{u_0''}{12} + r_1, \quad |r_1| \leq Mh^2,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>University of Novi Sad, Institute of Mathematics, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia



## 2. Some properties of the matrix $B$

We begin with some properties of the matrix  $B_0$ . To explain and describe these properties we shall use the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$(6) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following results concerning the matrix  $A$  are well known, see [4].

**Definition 1.** A matrix  $A$  is called inverse monotone if  $A$  has an inverse  $A^{-1} \geq 0$ , see [1].

**Theorem 1.** Let the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  be given by (6). Then

(i)  $A^{-1} = [a_{ij}]$  exists and

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \quad a_{ij} = \frac{i(n+1-j)}{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n.$$

(ii)  $A$  has the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k = 2 - 2 \cos(k\pi h), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors

$$(7) \quad v_k = [\sin(k\pi h), \sin(2k\pi h), \dots, \sin(nk\pi h)]^T, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(iii) For all  $h > 0$

$$\|h^2 A^{-1}\|_1 = \|h^2 A^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

(iv) A matrix  $A + \sigma E$  is inverse monotone for all real  $\sigma \geq 0$ , where  $E$  is identity matrix.

Using results of Theorem 1 it is easy to show the following

**Theorem 2.** Let  $B_0$  be the matrix  $B$  given by (5) with  $q_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

(i) The matrix  $B_0$  is an inverse monotone matrix.

(ii)  $B_0$  has eigenvalues

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{12h^2} \left( (8 - 2 \cos(k\pi h))^2 - 36 \right) > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors are given by (7).

(iii) For all  $h > 0$

$$\|B_0^{-1}\|_1 = \|B_0^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

(iv) Let  $\omega \geq 0$ . Then it holds

$$\|(B_0 + \omega E)^{-1}\|_2 = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu_1} = \frac{3h^2}{3\omega h^2 + (7 - \cos(\pi h))(1 - \cos(\pi h))}.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$B_0 = \frac{h^{-2}}{12} (A^2 + 12A).$$

So, we have

$$B_0^{-1} = 12h^2(A + 12E)^{-1}A^{-1}.$$

Since  $A$  and  $A + 12E$  are inverse monotone matrices, it follows that  $B_0$  is an inverse monotone matrix too.

From

$$B_0 = \frac{h^{-2}}{12} (A^2 + 12A) = \frac{h^{-2}}{12} ((A + 6E)^2 - 36E)$$

we obtain that the eigenvalues  $\mu_k$  of the matrix  $B_0$  are for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{12h^2} ((\lambda_k + 6)^2 - 36) = \frac{1}{12h^2} ((8 - 2\cos(k\pi h))^2 - 36) > 0,$$

where  $\lambda_k$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$ .

From the inequalities, see [6],

$$\|(A + 12E)^{-1}\|_1 = \|(A + 12E)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{12}, \quad \|h^2 A^{-1}\|_1 = \|h^2 A^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

we have (iii).

The eigenvalues of the matrix  $B_0 + \omega E$  are  $\omega + \mu_k$  and all are positive. So, this matrix is regular and the spectral radius of  $((B_0 + \omega E)^{-1})^2$  is  $(\frac{1}{\omega + \mu_1})^2$ . Now by the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  the statement follows directly.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Matrix  $B$  is inverse monotone if

$$(8) \quad 0 \leq q_i \leq 3h^{-2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* In this case we have

$$B = B_0 + Q, \quad Q = \text{diag}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n).$$

It is convenient to make the following transformations of the matrices  $B_0$  and  $B$ :

$$B_0 = \frac{1}{12h^2} ((A + 6E)(A + 6E) - 36E),$$

$$B = B_0 + Q = \frac{1}{12h^2} ((A + 6E)(A + 6E) + 12h^2Q - 36E).$$

If we denote by

$$K = (36E - 12h^2Q)(A + 6E)^{-1}(A + 6E)^{-1},$$

we have

$$B = \frac{1}{12h^2} (E - K)(A + 6E)(A + 6E),$$

and

$$B^{-1} = 12h^2 (A + 6E)^{-1} (A + 6E)^{-1} (E - K)^{-1}.$$

Since  $(A + 6E)^{-1} \geq 0$ , Theorem 1, to prove that the matrix  $B$  is inverse monotone it is enough to show inverse monotonicity of the matrix  $E - K$ .

Let

$$C = 36(A + 6E)^{-1}(A + 6E)^{-1}.$$

Eigenvalues of the matrix  $A + 6E$  are  $8 - 2 \cos(k\pi h)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and it follows that eigenvalues of the matrix  $C$  are

$$\gamma_k = \frac{36}{(8 - 2 \cos(k\pi h))^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

For the spectral radius of the matrix  $C$  we obtain

$$\rho(C) = \frac{36}{(8 - 2 \cos(\pi h))^2} < 1.$$

From (8) it follows

$$0 \leq 36E - 12h^2Q \leq 36E,$$

and we have

$$0 \leq K \leq C.$$

Now from well-known theorems, see [4], we conclude

$$\rho(K) \leq \rho(C) < 1$$

and  $(E - K)^{-1}$  exists and is nonnegative. So, the matrix  $B$  is inverse monotone, as a multiplication of three inverse monotone matrices.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $Q = \omega E$  with  $\omega \in [0, 3h^{-2}]$ , then the matrix  $B_0 + Q$  is inverse monotone.*

**Theorem 4.** *If  $Q = \omega E$  and  $\omega < 0$ , the matrix  $B_0 + Q$  is not inverse monotone for all  $h > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$z = [\sin(\pi h), \sin(2\pi h), \dots, \sin(n\pi h)]^\top.$$

Obviously,  $z > 0$ . The vector  $z$  is eigenvector of the matrix  $B_0$  and corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{12h^2} \left( (8 - 2 \cos(\pi h))^2 - 36 \right) = \frac{1}{3h^2} (7 - \cos(\pi h)) (1 - \cos(\pi h)).$$

So, the matrix  $B_0 + Q$  has the eigenvalue  $\mu_1 + \omega$  and the corresponding eigenvector is  $z$ :

$$(B_0 + Q)z = (\mu_1 + \omega)z.$$

If we suppose the matrix  $B_0 + Q$  is inverse monotone, then  $(B_0 + Q)^{-1} \geq 0$  and from

$$z = (\mu_1 + \omega) (B_0 + Q)^{-1} z$$

follows that  $\mu_1 + \omega$  must be positive. But,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu_1 = 0$  and for a sufficiently small  $h$  we obtain

$$\mu_1 + \omega < 0.$$

This means that  $B_0 + Q$  is not an inverse monotone matrix.  $\square$

We considered the boundary value problem (1) with the assumption  $q(x) \geq 0$ . It is known, see [4], that (1) has unique solution if

$$(9) \quad q(x) \geq \eta > -\pi^2, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Now we shall prove that the matrix  $B$  is regular for a sufficiently small  $h$  if (9) is satisfied.

**Theorem 5.** *The matrix  $B$  is regular for sufficiently small  $h$  if*

$$-\pi^2 < \eta \leq q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* The matrices  $B$  and  $Q$  are both Hermitian. Let  $\beta_k$  and  $\tau_k$  be eigenvalues of the matrix  $B$  and  $Q$  respectively and

$$\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_n, \quad \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots \leq \tau_n.$$

Since  $B = B_0 + Q$  we have, see [2],

$$\mu_k + \tau_1 \leq \beta_k \leq \mu_k + \tau_n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\mu_k$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $B_0$ . Since

$$\tau_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} q_i \geq \eta > -\pi^2, \quad \mu_k \geq \mu_1 = \frac{1}{3h^2} (7 - \cos(\pi h)) (1 - \cos(\pi h)),$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu_1 = \pi^2,$$

it follows for sufficiently small  $h$

$$0 < \mu_1 + \eta \leq \beta_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

So, all eigenvalues of the matrix  $B$  are positive and  $B$  is a regular matrix.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *The matrix  $B$  is regular for all  $h$  if  $0 \leq q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$*

**Theorem 6.** *The matrix  $B$  is regular for  $n \geq 3$  if*

$$-9.8 \leq \eta \leq q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and it holds that

$$\|B^{-1}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\mu_1 + \eta} = \frac{3h^2}{3\eta h^2 + (7 - \cos(\pi h))(1 - \cos(\pi h))}.$$

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 3$  we have  $h \leq 0.25$ . The matrix  $B$  is Hermitian and for the smallest eigenvalue  $\beta_1$  of the matrix  $B$  it holds

$$0 < \mu_1 - 9.8 \leq \mu_1 + \eta \leq \beta_1,$$

since  $\mu_1$  is monotone decreasing as function of  $h$  and  $\mu_1(0.25) \geq 9.83$ . So,

$$\|B^{-1}\|_2 = \frac{1}{\beta_1} \leq \frac{1}{\mu_1 + \eta}. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] Bohl, E., Lorenz, J., Inverse monotonicity and difference schemes of higher order. A summary for two-point boundary value problems. *Aeg. Math.* 19(1979), 1-36.
- [2] Horn, R.A., Johnson, C. R., *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, New York, New Rochelle, Melbourne, Sydney, 1986.
- [3] Herceg, D., On a finite difference analogue for boundary value problem. *Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 24,1(1994), 381-388.
- [4] Ortega, J.M., Rheinboldt, W.C., *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*. Academic Press, New York, London, 1970.
- [5] Usmani, R.A., Properties of a five-band matrix and its application to boundary value problems. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 4(1971), 101-115.
- [6] Varga, R.S., *Matrix iterative analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.

*Received by the editors November 12, 1998.*