

On Automorphisms, Derivations and Elementary Operators

Ilja Gogić

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb
and
Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad

The 4th Novi Sad Algebraic Conference
and Semigroups and Applications 2013
Novi Sad, Serbia, June 5-9, 2013

This talk is based on a paper

- D. Eremita, I. Gogić, D. Ilišević, *Generalized skew derivations implemented by elementary operators* (2013), to appear in *Algebras and Representation Theory*

An associative ring R is said to be **semiprime** if the zero-ideal is the intersection of prime ideals.

An associative ring R is said to be **semiprime** if the zero-ideal is the intersection of prime ideals.

It is easy to see that R is semiprime if and only if for every $a \in R$, $aRa = 0$ implies $a = 0$.

An associative ring R is said to be **semiprime** if the zero-ideal is the intersection of prime ideals.

It is easy to see that R is semiprime if and only if for every $a \in R$, $aRa = 0$ implies $a = 0$.

Examples of semiprime rings:

- Any prime ring is obviously a semiprime ring.
- Any reduced ring is a semiprime ring.
- Any J -semisimple ring is semiprime. In particular, semisimple rings and von Neumann regular rings are all semiprime.
- Any direct product of semiprime rings is semiprime.
- Any matrix ring over a semiprime ring is a semiprime ring.

Throughout, R will be a unital semiprime ring.

Throughout, R will be a unital semiprime ring.

An interesting class of additive maps $d : R \rightarrow R$ including both automorphisms and generalized derivations is the class of **generalized skew derivations**, that is, those satisfying

$$d(xy) = \delta(x)y + \sigma(x)d(y) \quad (x, y \in R),$$

for some map $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ and automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$.

Since by assumption R is semiprime, it is easy to see that the map δ is automatically additive and it is uniquely determined by d . Moreover, δ is a **σ -derivation** (skew-derivation), i.e. δ satisfies

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + \sigma(x)\delta(y) \quad (x, y \in R).$$

We decompose d as

$$d = \delta + \rho,$$

where $\rho := d - \delta$, and note that

$$\rho(x) = \sigma(x)d(1) \quad (x \in R).$$

On the other hand, an attractive and fairly large class of additive maps $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ is the class of **generalized elementary operators**, that is, those which can be expressed as a finite sum

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i a_i x b_i \quad (x \in R),$$

where the **coefficients** a_i, b_i are elements of the Utumi right quotient ring Q_{mr} . If all a_i, b_i lie in R , then we say that ϕ is an **elementary operator**.

On the other hand, an attractive and fairly large class of additive maps $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ is the class of **generalized elementary operators**, that is, those which can be expressed as a finite sum

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i a_i x b_i \quad (x \in R),$$

where the **coefficients** a_i, b_i are elements of the Utumi right quotient ring Q_{mr} . If all a_i, b_i lie in R , then we say that ϕ is an **elementary operator**.

Motivated by the fact that (generalized) elementary operators comprise both (X) -inner automorphisms $x \mapsto p x p^{-1}$ and (X) -inner generalized derivations $x \mapsto p x - x q$, we consider the following question:

Problem

Describe the form of generalized skew derivations which are implemented by (generalized) elementary operators.

The notion of a right quotient ring was introduced by Yuzo Utumi in 1956. An overring Q of a ring R is said to be a **right quotient ring** of R if given $p, q \in Q$, with $p \neq 0$, there exists $a \in R$ satisfying $pa \neq 0$ and $qa \in R$.

The notion of a right quotient ring was introduced by Yuzo Utumi in 1956. An overring Q of a ring R is said to be a **right quotient ring** of R if given $p, q \in Q$, with $p \neq 0$, there exists $a \in R$ satisfying $pa \neq 0$ and $qa \in R$.

Utumi proved that for every semiprime ring (or more generally, for any ring without total left zero divisors) there exists a maximal right quotient ring, called the **Utumi right quotient ring** of R and denoted by Q_{mr} .

The notion of a right quotient ring was introduced by Yuzo Utumi in 1956. An overring Q of a ring R is said to be a **right quotient ring** of R if given $p, q \in Q$, with $p \neq 0$, there exists $a \in R$ satisfying $pa \neq 0$ and $qa \in R$.

Utumi proved that for every semiprime ring (or more generally, for any ring without total left zero divisors) there exists a maximal right quotient ring, called the **Utumi right quotient ring** of R and denoted by Q_{mr} .

A right ideal I of R is said to be **dense** if for every $x, y \in R$, with $x \neq 0$ there exists $a \in R$ such that $xa \neq 0$ and $ya \in I$. Note that this is equivalent to saying that R is a right quotient ring of I .

The basic and in fact the characteristic four properties of Q_{mr} are:

- (i) R is a subring of Q_{mr} .
- (ii) For any $q \in Q_{mr}$ there exists a dense right ideal I of R such that $qI \subseteq R$.
- (iii) If $0 \neq q \in Q_{mr}$ and I is a dense right ideal of R , then $qI \neq 0$.
- (iv) For any dense right ideal I of R and a right R -module homomorphism $f : I_R \rightarrow R_R$ there exists $q \in Q_{mr}$ such that f is a left multiplication by q .

The basic and in fact the characteristic four properties of Q_{mr} are:

- (i) R is a subring of Q_{mr} .
- (ii) For any $q \in Q_{mr}$ there exists a dense right ideal I of R such that $qI \subseteq R$.
- (iii) If $0 \neq q \in Q_{mr}$ and I is a dense right ideal of R , then $qI \neq 0$.
- (iv) For any dense right ideal I of R and a right R -module homomorphism $f : I_R \rightarrow R_R$ there exists $q \in Q_{mr}$ such that f is a left multiplication by q .

The center of Q_{mr} is called the **extended centroid** of R and it is denoted by C . It is well known that C is a von Neumann regular self-injective ring. Moreover, C is a field if and only if R is a prime ring.

For any subset $S \subseteq Q_{mr}$ there exists a unique idempotent $\varepsilon(S)$ in C such that

$$\text{rann}_{Q_{mr}}(Q_{mr}SQ_{mr}) = (1 - \varepsilon(S))Q_{mr} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(S)x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in S,$$

where $\text{rann}_{Q_{mr}}(X)$ denotes the right annihilator in Q_{mr} of a subset $X \subseteq Q_{mr}$. The idempotent $\varepsilon(S)$ is called the **central support** of S . Whenever $S = \{x\}$ for some $x \in Q_{mr}$ we write $\varepsilon(x)$ for $\varepsilon(S)$.

For any subset $S \subseteq Q_{mr}$ there exists a unique idempotent $\varepsilon(S)$ in C such that

$$\text{rann}_{Q_{mr}}(Q_{mr}SQ_{mr}) = (1 - \varepsilon(S))Q_{mr} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(S)x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in S,$$

where $\text{rann}_{Q_{mr}}(X)$ denotes the right annihilator in Q_{mr} of a subset $X \subseteq Q_{mr}$. The idempotent $\varepsilon(S)$ is called the **central support** of S . Whenever $S = \{x\}$ for some $x \in Q_{mr}$ we write $\varepsilon(x)$ for $\varepsilon(S)$.

An automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ (resp. σ -derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$) is said to be **X -inner** if there exists an element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ (resp. $q \in Q_{mr}$) such that $\sigma(x) = pxp^{-1}$ (resp. $\delta(x) = qx - \sigma(x)q$) for all $x \in R$. In this case we say that an element p (resp. q) **implements** σ (resp. δ).

Theorem (D. Eremita, I. G. and D. Ilišević, 2013)

Suppose that $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ is a ring epimorphism and let $a \in Q_{mr}$. If the map $\rho_a : R \rightarrow Q_{mr}$, given by $\rho_a : x \mapsto \sigma(x)a$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(a)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(a)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R).$$

In particular, $\rho_a(x) = pxp^{-1}a$ ($x \in R$).

Theorem (D. Eremita, I. G. and D. Ilišević, 2013)

Suppose that $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ is a ring epimorphism and let $a \in Q_{mr}$. If the map $\rho_a : R \rightarrow Q_{mr}$, given by $\rho_a : x \mapsto \sigma(x)a$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(a)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(a)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R).$$

In particular, $\rho_a(x) = pxp^{-1}a$ ($x \in R$).

Corollary

If an epimorphism $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then σ is an X -inner automorphism of R .

Theorem (D. Eremita, I. G. and D. Ilišević, 2013)

Suppose that $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ is a ring epimorphism and let $a \in Q_{mr}$. If the map $\rho_a : R \rightarrow Q_{mr}$, given by $\rho_a : x \mapsto \sigma(x)a$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(a)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(a)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R).$$

In particular, $\rho_a(x) = pxp^{-1}a$ ($x \in R$).

Corollary

If an epimorphism $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then σ is an X -inner automorphism of R .

Problem

If an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ is implemented by an elementary operator (with all coefficients lying in R), is σ in fact an inner automorphism of R ?

The answer is negative (in general):

Example

Let R be the C^* -algebra consisting of all elements $x \in C([1, \infty], M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ (i.e. all continuous functions from the interval $[1, \infty] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ to the C^* -algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ of 2×2 complex matrices) such that

$$x(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

for some convergent sequence $(\lambda_n(x))$ of complex numbers. Then R admits an outer $*$ -automorphism σ which is implemented by an elementary operator.

The answer is negative (in general):

Example

Let R be the C^* -algebra consisting of all elements $x \in C([1, \infty], M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ (i.e. all continuous functions from the interval $[1, \infty] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ to the C^* -algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ of 2×2 complex matrices) such that

$$x(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n+1}(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

for some convergent sequence $(\lambda_n(x))$ of complex numbers. Then R admits an outer $*$ -automorphism σ which is implemented by an elementary operator.

Problem

Characterize the class of all unital semiprime rings R with the property that all automorphisms of R which are implemented by elementary operators are necessarily inner.

Theorem (D. Eremita, I. G. and D. Ilišević, 2013)

If a σ -derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then δ is X -inner, and for each element $q \in Q_{mr}$ which implements δ there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(q)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(q)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R).$$

In particular, $\delta(x) = qx - pxp^{-1}q$ ($x \in R$).

Theorem (D. Eremita, I. G. and D. Ilišević, 2013)

If a σ -derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then δ is X -inner, and for each element $q \in Q_{mr}$ which implements δ there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(q)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(q)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R).$$

In particular, $\delta(x) = qx - pxp^{-1}q$ ($x \in R$).

Corollary

Let δ be a non-zero σ -derivation of a prime ring R . If δ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then both σ and δ are X -inner.

Theorem (D. Eremita, I. G. and D. Ilišević, 2013)

If a σ -derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then δ is X -inner, and for each element $q \in Q_{mr}$ which implements δ there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(q)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(q)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R).$$

In particular, $\delta(x) = qx - pxp^{-1}q$ ($x \in R$).

Corollary

Let δ be a non-zero σ -derivation of a prime ring R . If δ is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then both σ and δ are X -inner.

However, this Corollary is not true for general semiprime rings.

Example

Let $R := M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since each right ideal of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is of the form $pM_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some projection $p \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have $Q_{mr}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) = M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and hence $Q_{mr}(R) = R$. For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, let ε_i be the central idempotent of R with one non-zero entry 1 at i -th coordinate, and let p be a non-central invertible matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We define maps $\sigma, \delta : R \rightarrow R$ by

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) := (px_1p^{-1}, x_3, x_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(x) := \varepsilon_1x - \sigma(x)\varepsilon_1.$$

Obviously σ is an automorphism of R and δ is a non-zero inner σ -derivation which is implemented by an elementary operator

$$\phi : x \mapsto \varepsilon_1x1_R - (p.\varepsilon_1)x(p^{-1}.\varepsilon_1)$$

However, σ is not an (X -)inner automorphism of R since σ is not the identity on the center of R (for example, $\sigma(\varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_3$).

Finally, if d is a generalized σ -derivation, then using a decomposition $d = \delta + \rho$, one obtains:

Corollary

If a generalized σ -derivation d of R is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then δ is an X -inner σ -derivation, and for each element $q \in Q_{mr}$ which implements δ , there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(r)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(r)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R),$$

where $r := d(1) - q$. In particular, $d(x) = qx - pxp^{-1}r$ ($x \in R$).

Finally, if d is a generalized σ -derivation, then using a decomposition $d = \delta + \rho$, one obtains:

Corollary

If a generalized σ -derivation d of R is implemented by a generalized elementary operator, then δ is an X -inner σ -derivation, and for each element $q \in Q_{mr}$ which implements δ , there exists an invertible element $p \in Q_{mr}^\times$ such that

$$\varepsilon(r)\sigma(x) = \varepsilon(r)pxp^{-1} \quad (x \in R),$$

where $r := d(1) - q$. In particular, $d(x) = qx - pxp^{-1}r$ ($x \in R$).

Remark

All these results are also true when σ is only an epimorphism.