

A Birkhoff's theorem for varieties defined by linear equations

Jakub Opršal

joint work with L. Barto and M. Pinsker

Charles University in Prague

Novi Sad, June 7, 2015

Linear equations

Given a signature Σ , a term t over Σ is **linear** if it contains at most one operation symbol from Σ . E.g.

$$t(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(x_1, x_0, x_0, x_2)$$

where f is a basic symbol, or a projection.

An equation is **linear** if both sides are linear terms.

Example

$$f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \approx g(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}), \quad \text{or} \quad f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \approx x_j.$$

CSP dichotomy conjecture

Let (A, Γ) be a finite relational structure. Then $\text{CSP}(A, \Gamma)$ is tractable iff $\text{Pol}(A, \Gamma)$ contains an operation s satisfying

$$s(x, x, x, y, y, y) \approx s(x, y, y, x, x, y) \approx s(y, x, y, x, y, x).$$

Many of naturally appearing Mal'cev conditions are linear, for example Mal'cev term, near unanimity term, cube terms, $SD(\wedge)$, Gumm term, Jónsson terms, etc.

Another Valeriote's conjecture

For every strong linear idempotent Mal'cev condition there is a poly-time algorithm that decides whether an idempotent algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies this condition.

The question

Birhoff's HSP theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of algebras of a given signature. Then

$$\text{Mod Eq}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Linear Birkhoff theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of algebras of a given signature. Then

$$\text{Mod LinEq}(\mathcal{K}) = ? (\mathcal{K}).$$

Retractions

An algebra \mathbf{B} is a **retraction** of \mathbf{A} if there are maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & b & \\ \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B} \\ & a & \end{array}$$

such that $ba = 1_B$, and for every operation f we have

$$f_{\mathbf{B}}(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) = bf_{\mathbf{A}}(a(b_0), \dots, a(b_{n-1})).$$

Observation

If \mathbf{B} is a retraction of \mathbf{A} then it satisfies all the linear equations valid in \mathbf{A} .

The class of all retractions of algebras from \mathcal{K} will be denoted $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{K})$.

Note that $\mathbf{HS} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$.

The question

Birhoff's HSP theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of algebras of a given signature. Then

$$\text{Mod Eq}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Linear Birkhoff theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of algebras of a given signature. Then

$$\text{Mod LinEq}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{? RP}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Proof of 'Mod LinEq \subseteq RP'

Let $\mathbf{B} \in \text{Mod LinEq}(\mathcal{K})$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathcal{K})$, and $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(B)$.

Observe that $\text{LinEq}(\mathbf{F}) \subseteq \text{LinEq}(\mathcal{K})$.

Take $f: B \rightarrow F$ to be 1_B , and define $b: F \rightarrow B$ as

$$b(f) = \begin{cases} t_{\mathbf{B}}(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) & \text{if } f = t_{\mathbf{F}}(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) \text{ for some linear term } t \\ & \text{and } b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B, \\ \text{whatever in } B & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, \mathbf{B} is (f, b) -retraction of \mathbf{F} . So,

$$\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{F}) \subseteq \mathbf{RHSP}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{RP}(\mathcal{K})$$



Proposition

The following Mal'cev conditions are not equivalent to a linear one.

- ▶ *congruence regularity,*
- ▶ *congruence singularity,*
- ▶ *congruence permutability \wedge congruence distributivity.*

Theorem

An algebra \mathbf{A} doesn't ... if and only if there is an algebra \mathbf{X} such that ... and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{RP}(\text{Clo } \mathbf{A})$.

- ▶ ... have a Mal'cev term ...
... $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$, $\{01|2, 0|12\} \subseteq \text{Con } \mathbf{X}$...
- ▶ ... generate congruence modular variety ...
... $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\{12|34, 13|24, 1|2|34\} \subseteq \text{Con } \mathbf{X}$...
- ▶ ... have a k -cube term ...
... $X = \{0, 1\}^k \setminus \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$, $\text{Ker } \text{proj}_i \in \text{Con } \mathbf{X}$ for all i ...
- ▶ ... generate a variety satisfying a non-trivial congruence identity ...
... $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\{12|34, 13|24, 1|234\} \subseteq \text{Con } \mathbf{X}$...

Thank you for your attention!